



Optimizing polarimetric parameter estimation in the presence of detector and shot noise

<u>François Goudail</u>, Matthieu Boffety, Stéphane Roussel, Jun Dai Laboratoire Charles Fabry, Institut d'Optique



Decamouflage

Many applications









Imaging through turbid media







Polarization of light

- Polarization = time variation of electric field vector
 - <u>Fully polarized</u>: deterministic trajectory \rightarrow ellipse in general case

 \clubsuit Characterized by intensity **I**, **azimuth** α and **ellipticity** ε

- <u>Totally unpolarized</u>: totally random trajectory
- Partially polarized : superposition of a totally polarized state and a totally depolarized one.

Described by **Stokes vector :**

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} S_0 \\ S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \end{bmatrix} = I \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ P\cos(2\alpha)\cos(2\epsilon) \\ P\sin(2\alpha)\cos(2\epsilon) \\ P\sin(2\epsilon) \end{bmatrix}$$



Stokes vector - Poincaré sphere

Graphical representation of polarisation state



Polarization state estimation



- In practice, measurements are perturbed by noise (assumed additive and white):
 - $\mathbf{I} = W\mathbf{S}^{in} + \mathbf{B} \implies \widehat{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S}^{in} + W^{+}\mathbf{B}$ $\Gamma_{\mathbf{B}} = \langle \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^{T} \rangle = \sigma^{2} \mathrm{Id}$

- What is the **optimal** matrix W?
- What happens if W is not optimal?

• **Reasonable criterion** : minimize sum of variances of $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}$ coefficients

$$\widehat{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S}^{in} + W^{+} \mathbf{B}$$

$$\Gamma_{\mathbf{B}} = \langle \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}^{T} \rangle = \sigma^{2} \mathrm{Id}$$

$$EWV = \sum_{k=1}^{4} VAR[\widehat{S}_{k}] = \mathrm{tr}[\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}}]$$
where:
$$\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}} = \left\langle (\widehat{\mathbf{S}} - \mathbf{S}^{in})(\widehat{\mathbf{S}} - \mathbf{S}^{in})^{T} \right\rangle = W^{+} \Gamma_{\mathbf{B}}(W^{+})^{T}$$

• This criterion can be written in the following form:

$$EWV = \operatorname{tr}[W^{+}\Gamma_{\mathbf{B}}(W^{+})^{T}] = \sigma^{2}\operatorname{tr}[(W^{T}W)^{-1}]$$

• The objective is thus to find the matrix W (or, which is equivalent, the projection vectors $T(\theta_n)$) that **minimises**:

$$\operatorname{tr}[(W^T W)^{-1}]$$

$$\min_{W} \left\{ \operatorname{tr}[(W^T W)^{-1}] \right\} \qquad W = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{T}^T(\theta_1) \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{T}^T(\theta_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

• If N=4 : the optimal solution consists in choosing vectors $T(\theta_n)$ that define a **regular tetrahedron** on the Poincaré sphere.



 In this case, the covariance matrix of the estimator is :

$$\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}} = \sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Azzam et al., J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 5, 681 (1988) Sabatke et al., Opt. Lett., 25, 802 (2000)

- If N>4 : the optimal solution consists in choosing vectors $T(\theta_n)$ that define a **spherical-2 design** on the Poincaré sphere.
- The **platonic solids** are spherical-2 designs

Tetrahedron	Cube	Octahedron	Dodecahedron	Icosahedron
Four faces	Six faces	Eight faces	Twelve faces	Twenty faces
N=4	N=8	N=6	N=20	N=12

• The covariance matrix of the estimator is:

Foreman et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. (2015) Foreman, Goudail, Opt. Eng. (2019)

$$\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}} = \frac{4}{N}\sigma^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

• The EWV is:

$$EWV_{opt} = \frac{40\sigma^2}{N}$$

Optimization in the presence of photon noise

• In practice, for sufficient level of light, **photon noise** dominates.

What is the optimal configuration in this case ?

- In this case, measured intensities i_n are **Poisson random variables** whose mean (and variance) is equal to : $\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{T}^T(\theta_n)\mathbf{S}^{in}$ They are statistically independent.
 - One has :

 $\widehat{\mathbf{S}} = W^{+}\mathbf{I} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \Gamma_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}} = W^{+}\Gamma_{\mathbf{I}}(W^{+})^{T}$ with: $[\Gamma_{\mathbf{I}}]_{mn} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{T}^{T}(\theta_{n})\mathbf{S}^{in} & \text{if } m = n\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Optimization in the presence of photon noise

The criterion to optimize is the same as in the case of additive Gaussian noise :

$$C = \sum_{k=1} VAR[\widehat{S}_k] = \operatorname{tr}[\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}}]$$

- But now, it depends on the measured vector Sⁱⁿ: there is one optimal matrix W for each measured vector ...
- To solve this problem, one uses a **« minimax »** approach:

$$\min_{W} \left\{ \max_{\mathbf{S}^{in}} \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(\Gamma_{\widehat{\mathbf{S}}} \right) \right] \right\}$$

 This optimization problem can be solved: the optimal W matrix is the same as in the case of additive noise, that is, the vectors T(θ_n) form a spherical-2 design on Poincaré sphere.

Goudail, Opt. Lett., 2009.

Goudail, Opt. Lett., 2016. 11

Optimization in the presence of photon noise

- However, the variances of each component of Stokes vector may depend on the measured state Sⁱⁿ, although their sum is independent.
- They are independent of Sⁱⁿ if the vectors T(θ_n) form a spherical-3 design on Poincaré sphere.



 In this case, the variances of each element of the estimator are similar as in the presence of additive noise:

Goudail, Opt. Lett., 2009. Goudail, Opt. Lett., 2016.

$$VAR[S] = \frac{2}{N} S_0^{in} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3\\3\\3\\3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Summary

- Minimization of estimation variance of Stokes vector in the presence of additive and photon noise.
 - The optimal configurations are the same in both cases:
 spherical-2 designs on the Poincaré sphere
 - In the presence of photon noise, in order to « equalize » the variances, one has to choose spherical-3 designs

What happens

- if the measurement matrix is not optimal?
- if the **parameters of interest** are not the Stokes vector?

Division of focal plane polarimetric camera



• Measure of 4 light intensities in one « super-pixel »

$$\boldsymbol{I} = [i_{0^{\circ}}, i_{45^{\circ}}, i_{90^{\circ}}, i_{135^{\circ}}]$$

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Estimation of the **linear** Stokes vector:

$$\boldsymbol{S} = [S_0, S_1, S_2]^T = W^+ \boldsymbol{I}$$

Defects of the micropolarizer grid

$$W_{\text{ideal}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{cases} \bullet & \text{Orientation} = 0^{\circ}, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 135^{\circ} \\ \bullet & \text{Diattenuation} = 1 \\ \bullet & \text{Transmission} = 1 \end{cases}$$

« Polarimetric » calibration of the camera
 > Measure the caracteristics of the sensor and of the micropolarizer grid

Example of the measurement matrix W of a real « super-pixel »:

$$W_{\text{real}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0.95 & 0.81 & -0.01 \\ 1.06 & 0.10 & 0.88 \\ 0.97 & -0.78 & 0.08 \\ 0.89 & -0.01 & -0.68 \end{bmatrix}$$

Defects of the micropolarizer grid



Orientation maps of the micro-polarizers

What is the impact of these micropolarizer grid defects on the **estimation performance** of polarimetric parameters (**S**, DOP, AOP)?

Estimation error of the polarimetric parameters

• Estimation of S in the presence additive and Poisson noise

$$\Gamma_{\widehat{S}}^{total} = \Gamma^{add} + \Gamma^{poi}$$



• The linear Stokes vector is estimated with the **calibrated** matrix : $\hat{S} = W_{real}^+ I$

What is the impact of the non-ideality of W_{real} on estimation precision of polarimetric parameters?

Estimation of the linear Stokes vector

Equally Weighted Variance : EWV = trace $(\Gamma^{\widehat{S}}) = VAR(S_0) + VAR(S_1) + VAR(S_2)$

Ideal super-pixel

$$EWV_{ideal} = 5\left(\sigma_a^2 + \frac{S_0}{2}\right)$$

Depends only on σ_a and S_0

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Real super-pixel} \\ \text{Angle of polarization (AOP)} \\ \text{EWV}_{real} &= \sigma_a^2 \sum_{i=0}^2 \delta_{ii} + S_0 \beta_0 \{1 + C \cos[2(\alpha - \theta)]\} \\ \bullet \text{ Depends on } W \\ \bullet \text{ Depends on } W \\ \bullet \text{ Depends on } \alpha \\ \delta_{ij} &= g^2 [(W^T W)^{-1}]_{ij} \quad \gamma_{ij}^k = g \sum_{l=1}^4 W_{il}^\dagger W_{jl}^\dagger W_{lk} \\ \beta_k &= \sum_{i=0}^2 \gamma_{ii}^k \\ \theta &= \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left[\frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}\right] \qquad C = P \frac{\sqrt{\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2}}{\beta_0} \end{aligned}$$

Estimation of the linear Stokes vector



Estimation of the linear Stokes vector



Example of polarimetric image



18/07/2019

Estimation of polarimetric parameters

In many applications, the final product is not the Stokes vector but **other polarimetric parameters**:

Degree of linear polarization (DOLP) $P = \sqrt{\frac{S_1^2 + S_2^2}{S_0}}$

Angle of polarization (AOP)
$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \arctan\left[\frac{S_2}{S_1}\right]$$





Ideal super-pixel

$$\operatorname{VAR}[\hat{\alpha}]_{\text{ideal}} = \frac{1}{2P^2} \left(\frac{\sigma_a^2}{S_0^2} + \frac{1}{2S_0} \right)$$

Real super-pixel

V

С

$$VAR[\hat{\alpha}] = \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}{4P^{2}S_{0}^{2}} \{\delta_{11}s^{2} + \delta_{22}c^{2} - 2\delta_{12}cs\} + \frac{1}{4P^{2}S_{0}} \{\gamma_{11}^{0}s^{2} + \gamma_{22}^{0}c^{2} - 2\gamma_{22}^{2}cs + Pc^{2}[(\gamma_{22}^{2} - 2\gamma_{12}^{1})s + \gamma_{22}^{1}c] + Ps^{2}[(\gamma_{11}^{1} - 2\gamma_{12}^{2})c + \gamma_{11}^{2}s]\}.$$
with
$$Ps^{2}[(\gamma_{11}^{1} - 2\gamma_{12}^{2})c + \gamma_{11}^{2}s]].$$

$$Pepends on W$$

$$Depends on \alpha$$

$$c = \cos(2\alpha) \text{ and } s = \sin(2\alpha)$$

$$\delta_{ij} = g^{2}[(W^{T}W)^{-1}]_{ij}$$

$$Y_{ij}^{k} = g \sum_{l=1}^{4} W_{il}^{+} W_{jl}^{+} W_{lk}$$
, $\forall (k, i, j) \in [0, 2]^{3}$

Variance of AOP



Variance of DOP

Ideal super-pixel

$$VAR[\hat{P}]_{ideal} = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{S_0^2} [2 + P^2] + \frac{1}{2S_0} [2 - P^2]$$

Real super-pixel

$$VAR[\hat{P}] = \frac{\sigma_a^2}{S_0^2} \{P^2 \delta_{00} - 2P(\delta_{01}c + \delta_{02}s) + 2\delta_{12}cs + \delta_{11}c^2 + \delta_{22}s^2\} + \frac{1}{S_0} \{P^3(\gamma_{00}^1c + \gamma_{00}^2s) + P^2[\gamma_{00}^0 - 2\gamma_{01}^1c^2 - 2\gamma_{02}^2s^2 - 2(\gamma_{01}^2 + \gamma_{02}^1)cs] + P[(\gamma_{11}^2 + 2\gamma_{12}^1)c^2s + (\gamma_{12}^2 + 2\gamma_{12}^2)cs^2 + \gamma_{11}^1c^3 + \gamma_{22}^2s^3 - 2\gamma_{01}^0c - 2\gamma_{02}^0s] + [\gamma_{11}^0c^2 + \gamma_{22}^0s^2 + 2\gamma_{12}^0cs]\}.$$

• Depends on W

• Depends on α

with

$$c = \cos(2\alpha) \text{ et } s = \sin(2\alpha)$$

$$\delta_{ij} = g^2 [(W^T W)^{-1}]_{ij} \text{ et } \gamma_{ij}^k = g \sum_{l=1}^4 W_{il}^{\dagger} W_{jl}^{\dagger} W_{lk}, \forall (k, i, j) \in [0, 2]^3$$

Roussel et al., Opt. Express (2018)

18/07/2019

Variance of DOP



How to measure the full Stokes vector with DoFP camera?

• Microgrid of retarders:

Hsu et al, « Full-Stokes imaging polarimeter using an array of elliptical polarizer, » *Optics Express* (2014)



 Put a retarder in front of a linear DOFP camera



- One must perform at least two acquisitions :
- one obtains 8 intensity measurements for each superpixel

Measurement vectors on Poincaré sphere



• Optimal retarder parameters (among others):

- Retardance : 90° (quarter wave plate)
- o No retarder / Retarder with any angle θ_o
- Optimal EWV : 5. $5\sigma^2$

(to be compared to ${}^{40\sigma^2}/_N = 5\sigma^2$ for unconstrained polarimeter)

Variances of S_1 and S_2 depend on θ_o



Conclusion

• For estimating Stokes vector, the measurement matrices must correspond to **spherical 2 or 3 design**, depending on type of noise.

- One can predict the estimation precision of polarimetric parameters from linear Stokes vector with optimal or imperfect measurement matrices
 - These results have been recently generalized to parameters estimated from full
 Stokes vector (DOP, AOP, ellipticity)

Dai et al., Opt. Express (2018) Dai et al., JOSA A (2019)

DoFPcameras can accelerate Stokes vector measurements: they open up new exciting application fields to polarization imaging (automotive navigation, ...)